

Porn and Stuff

A free E¹book thing by www.Smutpod.com and www.BhalaSada.com

Version: 1 - 31st Dec 2020

Introduction

Welcome to this ebook thing.

I will probably update this from time to time.

Before I start, I shall give you a bit of information about myself.

I am from the UK, male.

I am dyslexic, veterinarian (trying to be vegan). Into animal rights.

Though I have dyslexia, I also managed to get a BA (Hons) degree in Business. This has been some amusement to myself, as I was always the bottom of my class and yet in recent years I found the smartest girl in my class (who I have no doubts could have gone to such as Oxford), only did a degree at an open university thing.

I state I am dyslexic as I suspect you will find many spelling mistakes and grimmer mistakes in the following texts.

As far as politics goes, I am not into any party. However I would not vote UK Labour as all I have seen from Labour voters is that they are often middle class, left wing, who seem to believe they know best what others want, and seem to feel that the working class should all live in low class council houses and should stay on benefits, They seem to simply view the working classes as a charity they can use and abuse by posting on social media how wonderful they (the labour voters) are as they are doing this or that charity thing to help people.

I have often observed that those who tell the world about their charity work, or wish to be seen doing some event for charity (such as running in a race) are doing this for their own self worth and self publication, rather than because they care about others.

I am often amused by such as celebrities with millions of pounds, who will declare that they gave five mins of their time to do a charity event, or gave a few pounds to charity, knowing that this cheap publicity will get them more work and earn them millions more from the free publicity.

As you can see, I have a tendency to rant a bit.

Aims and Objectives

The aims of this ebook thing is to cover subjects on porn and related to porn, that I come across.

You will find it a bit of a bit of this and that.

Some of the texts are thoughts, and others observations.

It may be no use or interest to anyone, but at very least a place for me to post my drivel.

Feminists and Porn

Feminists is a movement that appears to contradict itself.

The idea we are told is that feminists believe in equality, and yet will openly state that they have the right to tell other women what to do for employment, how to dress, and how to act sexually.

Often one can observe that feminists tend (if not always) to be white, middle class, and left wing. Often it attracts women who seem to lack education and/or social skills, as they are told that they have not achieved greatness, not because they have not the talent or skills, but because they are victims of some society run by males.

The feminists seem to only state that porn is made by men, to abuse women. At the same time they will ignore the fact that women make porn, and the fact that 99.99% of porn is made today by women doing such as webcam, and/or sites such as only fans They too will ignore the fact that we have gay porn (males) or BDSM where females abuse men.

It is also worth noting that while the feminists are more than happy for working class women to work on low pay, serving them in the supermarkets, they object to the fact that working class women dare earn a decent wage doing porn. How dare they earn good money, as next they will have cash to buy a house and possibly move next door to the nice middle class feminists Indeed, they may even have cash to send there working class children to the same private schools that the nice feminists send there children to.

Worst still is if these working class women earn good money, then they will not need food banks. And the nice middle class feminists need to look important and good by informing the world on Facebook and Instagram how they help out in foodbanks.

Of course it would be wrong for a male to tell women what they should do with there bodies, but it is equality for the middle class feminist to tell other women that they should or should not be doing porn (yes, it makes no sense to me).

As you go on, you notice that what the feminist movement is, is a middle class left wing organisation, that looks after and has only interest in other middle class women.

Just as the Women's suffrages did not seem to give a rats ass about all the working class women and children who died in factories making cloth as well as those who died going down mines (yes, women and children went in mines), but were simply only interested in there own class. Today we still see that the view of the working class women are dirt by these middle class women.

It is no wonder that most women when asked do not feel any connection to these feminists

Yet at the same time, most of us, believe in true equality.

The only ones who seem not interested in equality are indeed the feminists Indeed equality means people doing things that may make you uncomfortable One may dislike porn, but if you believe in equality, one must accept that people have the right to choose to engage in porn. To object to women doing porn, and o decide for them (as you believe you are better than these women) defeats any notion that you are into equality. However one suspects the feminists will have a string of weak and pathetic excuses to explain why they can opt in and out of equality when it is something they feel a dislike to.

Porn Becomes Mainstream

Porn is fine if the right people make it, and wrong if the wrong types make it.

I am a working class, dyslexic, person. As such, I am not the right type to make porn.

The Tudors, a BBC production (I believe) made around 2007, was full of sex, nude babes, and one suspects this is what people watched this TV show for. However this is made (one suspects) by the middle classes, so this is acceptable porn. We can watch this show, and pretend we are doing so as we are being educated about history. The actors too can pretend they are doing something arty, and if one becomes famous from it, can later claim they hated doing nude, and it is below them.

It is the rules, that, porn is not porn but art, if made by and for the middle classes

However if scum like me dare make porn, it is wrong and all models must have been drugged, abused, and kidnapped from some unknown country.

On most UK TV stations (such as BB, ITV, CH4 and so on) one will struggle to go a week without some drama or TV show having some form of frontal nudity, and yet do those who condemn porn, ever moan about these. Of course not. This is middle class porn.

Apparently that watching these shows has no harm on a person, yet, if you see even a fully clothed model from my sites, we are informed that this will mean that a male will have erection problems, and at the same time have constant erections, and at the same time become a porn addict, while at the same time, lose all interest in porn, while at the same time will wish to sleep around, while at the same time will want to settle down and stay with the same person.

As time goes on, you learn to take rather little notice of those who will claim that the nude actors on film or TV show is empowering and educational and inspiring, while when they do the same on my site they will claim it is an act of pure evil.

Gail Dines

Gail Dines has written a lot about porn being bad, and has been on TV a lot as an expert in porn.

Wikipedia informs us that she has a BSc and PhD in sociology from University of Salford,

Before I go further, I would urge anyone who attends her lectures to ask, what research has she done into porn.

I state this as often, one seems to be only getting her beliefs rather than facts when she does her anti porn rant.

One suspects that people presume she has done a huge amount of research, such as watching a lot of porn, as well as talking to many who work in porn, such as actors, producers and so on. Yet one gets the feeling that this may not be the case.

BBC Newsnight 23rd Oct 2018 – Gail Dines And Jerry Barnett

I posted this on an old blog.

But thought it may be worth re-posting.

BBC Newsnight 23rd Oct 2018

This is my reply/response about the BBC Newsnight (23rd Oct 2018) show with Gail Dines and Jerry Barnett.

This was an interesting show, because Gail did what she does on most of her youtube films I have seen, and that is start off with her dehumanizing methods. At the start (I mean very start) of the interview, Gail tried to create a story about Jerry. First she stated he was involved in porn, which he is not (and I believe Gail knows that). The second was she stated that he was like a climate denier, suggesting he was ignoring the evidence. In fact as Gail I am sure knows full well, the evidence done by academics shows that there is no evidence porn does harm.

I am surprised Gail did not report to her usual 'pornymen', to describe Jerry as I have heard her use this term (or something along the lines) in her youtube vids.

In truth, the show was too short to discuss anything. And it felt like very few points were made.

But what may have been missed by many was the little point Gail made at the end. Gail got excited and said something about the new adult age verification laws.

So why is that interesting?

Well put simply Gail who is wanting porn banned/censored is a supporter of this law. In other words, a law that we are made to believe is NOT about censorship, is backed by someone who wants porn censorship.

But let's not be taken in by this anti porn stance Gail has. This is as much to do with Gail's anti-capitalist stance more than anything. She has openly admitted that she is anti-capitalist:

<http://gaildines.com/2009/09/pornography-is-a-left-issue/>.

Something that one wonders if her happy clapping followers are fully understanding.

'As leftists, we reject the sexism and racism that saturates contemporary mass-marketed pornography. As leftists, we reject the capitalist commodification of one of the most basic aspects of our humanity. As leftists, we reject corporate domination of media and culture. Anti-pornography feminists are not asking the left to accept a new way of looking at the world but instead are arguing for consistency in analysis and application of principles.'

<http://gaidines.com/2009/09/pornography-is-a-left-issue/>

Notice rather than say 'I', she invokes 'we', claiming that if you are on the left you must be anti porn.

From watching a lot of her youtube films, most of her arguments seem to be that porn is bad because it is capitalist and makes money. She often quotes it is a multi billion pound industry (by the way, I have as yet never seen any evidence it is a multi billion pound industry as this seems a made up fact). The fact people make money from porn seems a rather silly argument to be against porn, as most businesses are set up to generate a profit. The difference between porn and most other businesses, it is not a business that makes people ill (unlike the drinks industry for instance).

'Why do so many on the left seem to assume that pornographers operate in a different universe than other capitalists? Why would pornography be the only form of representation produced and distributed by corporations that wouldn't be a vehicle to legitimize inequality? Why would the pornographers be the only media capitalists who are rebels seeking to subvert hegemonic systems?'

<http://gaidines.com/2009/09/pornography-is-a-left-issue/>

Capitalists are bad, seems to be her argument against porn. Notice that to try to make her argument legitimate she uses a vocabulary designed to (I would suggest) confuse many who read/listen to her. It means many simply do not question her. It is fun to read her stuff as it sounds like a conspiracy believer on crack. She seems to suggest that people who make porn are in some great plan to shape the world.

'Yet when discussing pornography, this analysis flies out the window. Listening to many on the left defend pornography, one would think the material is being made by struggling artists tirelessly working in lonely garrets to help us understand the mysteries of sexuality. Nothing could be further from the truth; the pornography industry is just that — an industry, dominated by the pornography production companies that create the material, with mainstream corporations profiting from its distribution.'

<http://gaidines.com/2009/09/pornography-is-a-left-issue/>

Yes, porn like every other business is out to make money. Though, in truth, a lot of people make porn at home and put it onto the web for free as it is part of their sexuality. Oddly, one would presume if Gail was against business, why would she be putting her films on youtube, for youtube to profit from distribution of her films? It seems fine for her to make her films, but not other people?

'It's easy to listen in on pornographers' conversations — they have a trade magazine, Adult Video News. The discussions there don't tend to focus on the transgressive potential of pornography or the polysemic nature of sexually explicit texts. It's about — what a surprise! — profits. The magazine's stories don't reflect a critical consciousness about much of anything, especially gender, race, and sex.'

<http://gaildines.com/2009/09/pornography-is-a-left-issue/>

I have never heard about this magazine. I wonder if this is the only research Gail seems to have ever done into porn, is look at a single magazine. But you are correct Gail, they are talking about making money, rather than publish articles on gender, race, or sex. Who would have known a trade magazine called Adult Video News would cover the Adult Video News stories? I presume that if Gail buys a trade magazine on furniture (for instance) she would expect it to cover subjects about race, gender and so on.

Most of Gail's arguments against porn, seem to be that porn is bad because (like every other business), it makes money. She suggests it is all made by men, and seems to completely ignore the inconvenient truth that a lot of women make porn, and run their own porn sites, and shoot porn. She also ignore the inconvenient truth that gay porn exists, as this does not fit in to her narrative that porn is made by men to abuse women.

'Up-market producers such as Vivid use mainly white women; the official face of pornography is overwhelmingly white. However, alongside this genre there exists more aggressive material in which women of color appear more frequently. As one black woman in the industry told us, "This is a racist business," from how she is treated by producers to pay differentials to the day-to-day conversations she overhears on the set.'

<http://gaildines.com/2009/09/pornography-is-a-left-issue/>

You cannot win. If the site was full of black women, one would presume that she would accuse the site of being racist because they were targeting black women. And there are many sites who only shoot black women. I myself shoot both black and white women. And there is a reason why more white models are shot. It is because there are more white women than black who do porn. Most model sites I have gone on, the bulk of the models are white. And because of this, the black models I know get a LOT of work as there are less black models. One also wonders if this 'black' women even exists that she quotes.

'Pornography's central ideological message is not hard to discern: Women exist for the sexual pleasure of men, in whatever form men want that pleasure, no matter what the consequences for women. It's not just that women exist for sex, but that they exist for the sex that men want.'

<http://gaildines.com/2009/09/pornography-is-a-left-issue/>

What about gay porn then? Or lesbian porn made by lesbians? Or BDSM porn, where men are tied up by women. Or mistress porn, where the women tends never to get nude and humiliates the men? Or shall we just ignore all that type of porn as it is inconvenient and simply does not fulfill the narrative that porn is made to abuse women by evil men.

However, do you remember what you posted at the start of your article?

'Pornography is fantasy, of a sort. Just as television cop shows that assert the inherent nobility of police and prosecutors as protectors of the people are fantasy. Just as the Horatio Alger stories about hard work's rewards in capitalism are fantasy. Just as films that cast Arabs only as terrorists are fantasy.'

<http://gaidines.com/2009/09/pornography-is-a-left-issue/>

'Pornography is fantasy', and your correct. That is all it is. It is no more real than a horror film, or a cowboy film, or a cartoon. It is no more than entertainment. And just like a Horror film, people watch it, enjoy it, then move on. And yes, many films show the English as bad guys in USA films, but in real life Americans do not see the English as villains or people to be scared of. It is because people know it is a fantasy (as you yourself have stated). So if you believe people are affected by porn, then surely you believe that all forms of fantasy should be banned as presumably the arguments that can and often are applied to porn, can equally be applied to any other form of fantasy.

RE: Gail Dines – Growing Up In A Pornified Culture

"Growing Up in a Pornified Culture" by Gail Dines (youtube date Apr 28, 2015).

I love to watch Gail Dines talks and go through her points.

I did a youtube vid: <https://youtu.be/mv6FZ9-0N10>

For the first 6 minutes she does not talk about porn, but magazines, that seem to be fashion magazines.

You will notice she tries to suggest that there is a racist motive as she seems to suggest most models are white.

A lot of her talk seems to simply be to try to put fear into people by asking people to imagine the stuff she wants them to think.

Links:

Growing Up in a Pornified Culture - Gail Dines

https://youtu.be/_YpHNIImNsx8

Violence Against Women 2010 16: 1065

<http://media.virbcdn.com/files/79/FileItem-273118-AgressionandSexualBehavior2010.pdf>

Details (magazine)

href="[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Details_\(magazine\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Details_(magazine))

Evidence that shows what a lot of Gail Dines claims is not true:

<https://reason.com/2019/12/09/5-myths-that-anti-porn-crusaders-keep-repeating>

RE: Gail Dines On “Fifty Shades Of Grey”

Fifty Shades of Grey is a book written by E. L. James (a female writer) selling 125 million copies worldwide, and one suspects to a mainly female readership.

These books, destroy the myth that those who are against porn, portray porn as something made by men and consumed by men, when in this case it is a female writer, who seems to have a mainly female readership.

Gail Dines in this clip seems to suggest that BDSM is linked to domestic abuse.

One suspects that domestic abuse and BDSM have no connection.

The website 'theline.org', a site dedicated to help people with domestic abuse, seems to suggest that no connection can be made.

They state "Abuse is about one partner gaining and maintaining power and control over another, whereas healthy BDSM relationships revolve around a consensual power exchange".

<https://www.theline.org/2017/04/06/healthy-bdsm-relationships-possible/>

It could be argued that Gail Dines is putting women (and men) at risk, as they may believe that if there abusive partner is not into BDSM, then they may think they are not in an abusive relationship, and so may stay with the abuser.

Links:

Gail Dines on "Fifty Shades of Grey"

<https://youtu.be/ox2RmGof-C0>

RE: Gail Dines on "Fifty Shades of Grey"

https://youtu.be/Ibqv9U_xfdE